

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,457	12/03/2004	Michel Puech	Q84448	2874	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 04/09/200 ION PLLC	EXAMINER			
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
······································	1,002007		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/516,457	PUECH, MICHEL			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrie R. Lund	1792			

	Jeffrie R. Lund	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a final rejection, to the proposed amendment and the would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous proposed and the proposed	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	the 112 2 nd paragraph rejections of	f claims 9-11.	
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\times \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Jeffrie R. Lund/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1792	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments do not overcome the rejections of record. The argument that Bosch teaches against the use of a metal liner is over stated. Bosch merely states that the use of aluminum will result in aluminum contamination. Likewise, the use of any material will result in the plasma being contaminated by that material. The claims do not limit or prevent contamination by aluminum. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that Bosch teaches the possibility of using aluminum and Wang teach all the limitations of the claims.